



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mn
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,587	11/24/2003	Mark D. Ackerman	6647-051	5785

45842 7590 07/25/2007
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. - NOVELL
210 SW MORRISON STREET
SUITE 400
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

ROBINSON, GRETA LEE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2168

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/25/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/721,587	Applicant(s) ACKERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Greta L. Robinson	Art Unit 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ariel S. Rogan (registration no. 43,054). (3)_____.

(2) Greta L. Robinson. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, 17 and 38.


Identification of prior art discussed: Mathews et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0163479 A1; Huttel et al. US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0140027 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


GRETAL ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The general nature of the telephone interview was to discuss the present invention and the prior art cited under 35 USC 103(a). Applicant stated that the DAC in Mathews et al. is not the same as the data store; and that Mathews et al. does not provide the same function as the the generic document of the present invention. The examiner agreed that the DAC is different than the data store, and pointed out that Mathews et al. provides for the data store in element (107). The examiner referenced paragraph 0053 for the feature of the data store schema. The concept of the foreign key was discussed with respect to Huttel et al. at paragraph 0012. Applicant will submit formal remarks for consideration .